

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/210,539	12/14/1998	AKIRA ISHIBASHI		5289
75	90 08/06/2003			
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner LLP			EXAMINER	
1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315		EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	2.5
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>.                                      </u>		$oldsymbol{H}$	Y-7
		Application N .	Applicant(s)	
		09/210,539	ISHIBASHI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SH THE   - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repion of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period the torough within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	<u>June 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	ance except for formal mat Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-8 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in t	the application		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-8 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.		•	٠
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers		•	
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the	ie Examiner.	
—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
40)[7]	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	•	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Ap	oplication No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
_a	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has be	een received.	
Attachmen				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The amendment filed 4/46/02 is still objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure, for reasons cited in the previous Office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obuchi et al. or Tsai et al., for reasons cited in previous Office actions.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obuchi et al. or Tsai et al., each independently as applied to claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8 and 10-12 above, and further in view of Yamada et al., for reasons cited in previous Office actions.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/11/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/210,539

Art Unit: 1713

6. Regarding the new matter in the specification, applicant asserts that the amendment was to correct a translation error, but has not provided any evidence to support this statement, such as the original untranslated paragraph.

Page 3

The examiner still contents that the subject matter in question is of such a substantial nature as to potentially distinguish one of the cited references from the present claims, that such substantial evidence is required.

7. Regarding the submission from the FBAW website, in applicant's argument, applicant states that these web pages state or make it clear that Bionolle #1020 does not contain talc. However, no such statement was found in these pages. The university website lists a general description of Bionolle #1020, along with other Bionolle grades. This is a general description, which is not a manufacture's technical disclosure describing the specifics of #1020 or the distinctions between the "1020" and the other Boinelles products (i.e., #3020, #1000, #3000, etc.). Applicant still has not provided such substantial evidence to demonstrate that applicant's Boinelle #1020, contrary to applicant own description in the application as originally filed is not a talccontaining polymer.

Applicant makes it clear in the original specifications that "Bionelle #1020", the grade of Bionelle used in the present invention comprises 30% talc. In page 36, lines 17-23 and col. 37, lines 3-7 of the present specifications, Applicant explicitly defines Bionelle 1020 as "commercially available . . . talc-containing (30%) grade of th

Application/Control Number: 09/210,539 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

aliphatic polyester type biodegradable resin made by Showa Highpolymer Co., Ltd and sold under the trademark designation of 'Bionelle' #1020". The Examiner still does not see how idiomatic errors alone can account for such distinction.

Further, if applicant wished to properly incorporate new matter, it is suggested that applicant file a C-I-P so as to allow such a change/addition.

- 8. Regarding the Declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 and invention reports (dated 9/17/97 and 10/31/97), without a single embodiment in either invention report disclosing the basic technical feature of the present invention, i.e., both the dispersed PLA and the dispersed inorganic filler in the polyester continuous phase, the Declaration is not persuasive. Several prior art documents disclose one or the other dispersed phase, it is the combination that applicant is claiming as novel. The Declaration does not provide evidence that applicant had possession of this invention prior to Tsai et al.
- 9. Regarding applicant's arguments that Obuchi et al. does not disclose or suggest an interlinking fastening component made from biodegradable resins, in col. 9, line 32, Obuchi et al. teach that tying materials may be molded from the resin. A tying material is used for fastening and, by definition, must interlock in some form or the other in order to tie.

It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "slide fasteners" and "complex fasteners represented by Figures") are not recited in the rejected claim(s).

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the

Art Unit: 1713

specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The rejections are supported and are maintained.

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (703) 306-5701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

**KCE** 

August 4, 2003